AMERICA'S FORGOTTEN ATOMIC HEROES

HON. BILL RICHARDSON

OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, December 13, 1995

Mr. RICHARDSON. Mr. Speaker, today, I would like to talk about forgotten heroes. As we contemplate sending United States troops to Bosnia, we would be well-served to remember the fates of those men and women known as Atomic Veterans. Most Americans, and maybe many of us here in Congress, are not aware that there exists today a group of veterans who were exposed to ionizing radiation while in the U.S. military in Hiroshima and Nagasaki, in the nuclear and thermonuclear tests in the Pacific, and the Nevada nuclear tests. Some were directly exposed, some were exposed by cleaning up contaminated sites, ships, or aircraft. Some, sadly, lost their lives. And, in the 50 years since nuclear testing began, many of our Atomic Veterans have fallen ill from exposure and, today, probably more than half of them are dead.

Our Government has recognized more than 40 cancers and conditions that are caused by exposure to ionizing radiation, but only the 13 named in PL100-321 and 2 in PL102-578 are deemed presumptive. Many of the Atomic Veterans don't think these laws go far enough. They tell me that the law we passed in 1984. PL93-542, under which most radiation claims are adjudicated, do not go far enough. They say, in fact, that we have a double standard. The Marshall Islands Nuclear Claims Tribunal Act of 1987, as amended, gives compensation to Marshall Islanders, presumptively, for cancers and conditions that are denied to U.S. servicemen. These veterans are exposed at the same time and places as the Marshall Islanders. Does that sound fair to you?

The President's Advisory Committee on Human Radiation Experiments issued their final report of over 900 pages on October 3, 1995. President Clinton apologized on behalf of the United States for the human experiments performed on both civilians and the military. The report brought some long-overdue recognition by the executive branch of Government. Today, I would like to ask Congress to recognize the Atomic Veterans, throughout the country, for their valor and service. I know many of my colleagues join me in thanking them for their sacrifice, and I know many of you will join me in working with the Veterans Administration to equalize the standards for those veterans with radioactive cancers and diseases.

UNICEF: 49 YEARS AND COUNTING

HON. THOMAS M. BARRETT

OF WISCONSIN

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, December 14, 1995

Mr. BARRETT of Wisconsin. Mr. Speaker, for those of us lucky enough to grow up in an environment free of civil war and famine, it can be difficult to imagine the hardships that confront millions of children every day in places like Bosnia and Rwanda. At least 40 conflicts are currently raging throughout the world, and as a result, approximately 1.5 mil-

lion children have been killed, more than 4 million disabled, 5 million forced into refugee camps, and 12 million rendered homeless.

The United Nations Children's Fund [UNICEF], a special program of the United Nations established on December 11, 1946, is dedicated to the health and welfare of children, who represent the future of our world. UNICEF's annual report on the State of the World's Children, released this week, highlights its success in combating disease, hunger, and death among the world's children.

UNICEF's immunization, sanitation, and nutrition programs have helped reduce child mortality rates by 50 percent in the last 30 years. Every year, UNICEF provides oral vaccines and other medicines that save the lives of 3 million children. In 1994, UNICEF's close coperation with various international food programs helped feed 57 million hungry people.

Given the tight budgetary constraints presently facing the United States, we need to use our limited resources wisely. I believe that our interests include UNICEF programs benefitting millions of children in developing nations. I am pleased that this year's Foreign Aid appropriations bill would create the Child and Disease Program's Fund, to include such programs as AIDS prevention, nutrition, polio eradication, an infectious disease surveillance system, and funding for blind children.

One of the central principles behind the creation of UNICEF is that action taken today to prevent disease and malnutrition will save us money in future years. An example is the fight to eradicate polio. Although there have been no reported cases of polio in the Western hemisphere or in Europe for 3 years, experts estimate that funding for immunizations must continue for another 5 years to ensure that the disease is eliminated. Failure to contribute to this effort could lead to a resurgence of polio, and a drastic increase in the cost of combating the spread of disease.

UNICEF will celebrate its 50th anniversary in 1996. We should honor the successes of the last 50 years, but we must also prepare for the next 50 years. As we work for a better world for our children, UNICEF's programs are worthy of our continued support.

AMERICAN PUBLIC HEALTH ASSO-CIATION ENDORSES MEDICAL USE OF MARIJUANA

HON. BARNEY FRANK

OF MASSACHUSETTS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, December 14, 1995

Mr. FRANK of Massachusetts. Mr. Speaker, recently I introduced legislation which would allow physicians to prescribe marijuana when in their judgment it is medically appropriate to do so. I first became a supporter of this legislation more than a decade ago, when it was introduced by our late colleague, the gentleman from Connecticut Mr. McKinney. I was recently advised of a resolution passed by The American Public Health Association which supports the concept embodied in the legislation I have introduced and I ask that this resolution be printed here.

ACCESS TO THERAPEUTIC MARIJUANA/ CANNABIS

The American Public Health Association: Being aware that cannabis/marijuana has been used medicinally for centuries and that cannabis products were widely prescribed by physicians in the United States until 1937; and

Being aware that "marijuana" prohibition began with the Marijuana Tax Act of 1937 under false claims despite disagreeing testimony from the AMA's representative; and

Being further aware that the Controlled Substances Act of 1970 completely prohibited all medicinal use of marijuana by placing it in the most restrictive category of Schedule I, whereby drugs must meet three criteria for placement in this category: 1) have no therapeutic value, 2) are not safe for medical use, and 3) have a high abuse potential; and

Being cognizant that the Drug Enforcement Administration's own administrative law judge ruled in 1988 that marijuana must be removed from Schedule I and made available for physicians to prescribe; and

Knowing that 36 states have passed legislation recognizing marijuana's therapeutic value; and

Also knowing that the only available access to legal marijuana which was through the Food and Drug Administration's Investigational New Drug Program has been closed by the Secretary of Health and Human Services since 1991; and

Understanding that while synthetic Tetrahydrocannibinol (THC) is available in pill form, it is only one of approximately 60 cannabinoids which may have medicinal value individually or in some combination; and

Understanding that marijuana has an extremely wide acute margin of safety for use under medical supervision and cannot cause lethal reactions; and

Understanding that marijuana has been reported to be effective in: a) reducing intraocular pressure in glaucoma; b) reducing
nausea and vomiting associated with chemotherapy; c) stimulating the appetite for patients living with AIDS (acquired
immunodeficiency syndrome) and suffering
from the wasting syndrome; d) controlling
spasticity associated with spinal cord injury
and multiple sclerosis; e) decreasing the suffering from chronic pain; and f) controlling
seizures associated with seizure disorders;
and

Understanding that marijuana seems to work differently than may conventional medications for the above problems, making it a possible option for persons resistant to the conventional medications; and

Being concerned that desperate patients and their families are choosing to break the law to obtain this medicine when conventional medicines or treatments have not been effective for them or are too toxic; and

Realizing that this places ill persons at risk for criminal charges and at risk for obtaining contaminated medicine because of the lack of quality control; and

Realizing that thousands of patients not helped by conventional medications and treatments, may find relief from their suffering with the use of marijuana if their primary care providers were able to prescribe this medicine; and

Concluding that cannabis/marijuana was wrongfully placed in Schedule I of the Controlled Substances depriving patients of its therapeutic potential.

Recognizing the APHA adopted a resolution (7014) on Marijuana and the Law which urged federal and state drugs laws to exclude marijuana from classification as a narcotic drug; and

Concluding that greater harm is caused by the legal consequences of its prohibition than possible risks of medicinal use; therefore

1. Encourages research of the therapeutic properties of various cannabinoids and combinations of cannabinoids; and

- 2. Encourages research on alternative methods of administration to decrease the harmful effects related to smoking; and
- 3. Urges the Administration and Congress to move expeditiously to make cannabis available as a legal medicine where shown to be safe and effective and to immediately allow access to therapeutic cannibis through the Investigational New Drug Program.

WORLD HAS A CHOICE: FAMILY PLANNING OR CHAOS

HON. CHARLES WILSON

OF TEXAS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, December 14, 1995

Mr. WILSON. Mr. Speaker, as the ranking minority member of the Appropriations Committee on Foreign Operations I wanted to bring to everyone's attention once again an issue which we cannot ignore and which figured prominently in floor debate yesterday.

We cannot keep putting money toward economic assistance in developing countries without first addressing the population problem through family planning funding. Continuing to turn our backs on this issue and relying solely on development aid is like pouring water in a leaky bucket.

The Houston Chronicle recently ran an oped piece that address these concerns very well. I submit it now, for your consideration.

[From the Houston Chronicle, Dec. 11, 1995] WORLD HAS A CHOICE: FAMILY PLANNING OR CHAOS

(By Werner Fornos)

As the year draws to a close, the consequences of rapid population growth in a world that already has more than 5.7 billion—79 percent of them living in the world's poorest countries and regions-are being brought into sharp focus.

Some 600,000 square miles of forest have been cut in the last 10 years, much of it attributable to the need for more living space and firewood, still the main source of cooking and heating fuel in the developing world.

Twenty-six billion tons of topsoil have

Regional fresh water supplies are dangerously low. Rivers are drying up and many lakes are at their lowest levels in history.

All 17 of the world's major fisheries are being exploited at or beyond their capacity.

Eighty-eight nations have been classified by the United Nations World Food Program as low-income, food-deficit countries, unable to grow or buy enough food to accommodate their inhabitants

There are nearly 960 million illiterates in the world today, but 130 million children—including 90 million girls—are denied access to primary schooling.

About half a million women die every year of pregnancy-related causes.

All this in a world growing by nearly 100 million people a year.

Meanwhile, a myopic majority in the U.S. House of Representatives, overlooking these facts regarding the interrelationship between overpopulation, poverty, maternal and child mortality and environmental degradation, continues to confuse-either by design or denial—family planning with abortion.

The House has voted twice this year to deny funding to the United Nations Population Fund, the largest provider of multilateral population assistance to poor countries, so long as it continues to support voluntary family planning programs in the People's Republic of China. The rationale behind these votes is rooted in allegations that the Chinese national population program relies on coercive abortion, though not a dime of U.N. assistance to China has ever been found to finance abortion, forced or voluntary, there or anywhere else.

Ironically, the net effect of withdrawing U.S. assistance to the fund (the 1996 contribution request for that agency is \$35 million) does little to penalize China. But it does needlessly punish women and children in the world's poorest countries that seek agency support and who are placed in harms way as potential victims of pregnancies that occur too soon, too frequently and too closely spaced.

In fact, there are an estimated 350 million couples in the world who do not have access to a full range of family planning services, and it has been conservatively estimated that 120 million of these couples would use these services if they were available.

But the irony does not stop there. The U.N. Population Fund's assistance to China and 140 other countries is primarily in the areas of establishing and strengthening the delivery of conventional modern family planning information, education and services. Under its mandate, the fund cannot be involved in the delivery of abortion services.

It should be remembered that China with 1.2 billion people, is the most populous country in the world. By the year 2030, the population of China is expected to consume an amount of grain equivalent to the entire world grain production of 1994.

The U.S. Senate, contending there is a sufficient safeguard in the existing prohibition against the U.N. agency using any funds in China that have been contributed by the United States, has rejected both efforts of the House of Representatives to cut off the contribution to the Population Fund.

The Senate apparently understands what the House cannot seem to grasp: Family planning is the first line of defense against abortion.

REPORT ON RESOLUTION PROVID-ING FOR DEBATE AND CONSID-ERATION OF THREE MEASURES RELATING TO U.S. TROOP DE-PLOYMENTS IN BOSNIA

SPEECH OF

HON. SAM BROWNBACK

OF KANSAS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, December 13, 1995

Mr. BROWNBACK. Mr. Speaker, I continue to oppose President Clinton's plan to deploy 20,000 United States troops to Bosnia. While I want to end the genocide that has plagued the Balkan Peninsula for the last 4 years, the administration's plan for achieving peace in Bosnia is severely flawed, and, I fear, destined

We would not be debating whether the United States should send troops to Bosnia if Presidents Bush and Clinton had not supported the misguided international arms embargo imposed upon Bosnia. If we had lifted the arms embargo several years ago and imposed a no-fly-zone over Bosnia, the outnumbered Bosnian Serb forces would never have achieved military superiority over the Bosnian Government troops.

Instead, we prevented the Bosnian Government forces from defending themselves while Serbia armed the Bosnian Serbs. This policy led to more than 200,000 deaths and created more than 2 million refugees.

Having suffered the consequences of one bad policy decision, we now face another. However, this time, we are risking not only more Bosnian lives, but American lives as

The greatest flaw in the administration's current strategy is that peace has not yet been achieved. There will be no peace as long as there are 4,000 or more foreign Moslem fighters in Bosnia. There will be no peace as long as the Bosnia Croats refuse to fully cooperate with the International War Crimes Tribunal. In addition, there will be no peace as long as rank-and-file Bosnina Serbs continue to oppose the peace plan.

All sides in this conflict have a considerable amount of work to do before peace can be achieved. Until all of the parties demonstrate their commitment to ending the bloodshed, long-term peace will not be possible, regardless of the number of troops that are used to separate the warring parties.

As long as there is no meaningful peace, United States troops deployed in Bosnia will serve as convenient targets for roque units frustrated by their inability to attack their real enemy. Even though, as Commander in Chief, the President has the constitutional authority to commit United State soldiers to Bosnia, I cannot support a plan that does not minimize the risks to, and maximize the security of, our troops, especially a deployment that is not vital to our national security interest.

I fully support every man and woman who has volunteered to serve in our armed services. I have the greatest admiration for these men and women, and they enjoy my unequivocal support, whether they are here or abroad. By deciding to deploy our troops in Bosnia under the current plan for a mission that is not vital to our national security interests, the President has not properly minimized the risks in military duty, and has jeopardized the credibility that our political leaders enjoy with our Armed Forces.

TRIBUTE TO MARCIN GORA

HON. DAVID E. BONIOR

OF MICHIGAN

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, December 14, 1995

Mr. BONIOR. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize a young and talented individual from my home State of Michigan, Marcin Gora of Shelby Township. Marcin recently traveled to Lyon, France, where he competed in the International Vocational Training Competitions [IVTC] as part of Team USA.

Team USA was fielded by the Vocational Industrial Clubs of America [VICA]-a national organization of students in public high schools